Inverse Eigenvalue Problems in Control System Design

N.K. Nichols University of Reading

MOPNET 3 - September 2010



Outline

- 1. Introduction Overview
- 2. Inverse Eigenvalue Problem Linear Systems
- 3. Inverse Problem Quadratic Matrix Polynomial Systems
- 4. Conclusions / Future



1. Introduction



DYNAMIC SYSTEM



Input	5	knowsh/ measurable
Octest	3	known / measurable
State	×	NOT MOUN/ NOT MEasurable

PROPERTY Given initial state K(to)=Xo and U(t) $\forall t \in [t_0, t^2]$ then y(t) is <u>uniquely</u> determined $\forall t \in [t_0, t^2]$



Dynamical Models

- Continuous v Discrete - ODE/PDE
- Deterministic v Stochastic
- Linear/Polynomial v Nonlinear - DAE
- Time-Invariant v Non-autonomous



Dynamical Models

- Continuous v Discrete - ODE/PDE
- Deterministic v Stochastic
- Linear/Polynomial v Nonlinear - DAE
- Time-Invariant v Non-autonomous

Automatic Feedback Control







z eR" y . Rm y + TR"

SYSTEM

$\dot{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{B}\mathbf{y}$ $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{C}\mathbf{x}$







FEEDBACK SYSTEM :

= Cx

$\dot{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{B}\mathbf{K}\mathbf{y} + \mathbf{B}\mathbf{r}$ = $(\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{B}\mathbf{K}\mathbf{C})\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{B}\mathbf{r}$



<u>Objectives</u>

Choose K to:

- assign eigenvalues / stabilize
- assign eigenvectors decouple inputs/outputs
- ensure robustness (insensitivity to disturbances)



Applications

Aircraft Guidance and Control Power Systems Mechanical Industrial Plants Acoustic Noise Control Reservoir Flow Control **Chemical Reactors Observers for State Estimation**



2. Inverse Eigenvalue Problem for LTI Systems



STATE FEEDBACK

System $\dot{x} = Ax + Bu$ Ann Binn Feedback $u = Kx + V \implies$ $\dot{x} = (A + BK)x + Bv$

PROBLEM Find real K s.t A+BK has given eigenvalues L= [n:] nie C, nie I= niel? Existence 3K for every set if and only if (A, B) 1 is controllable ie iff rank [B, A-h] = n 4 X F C



THEOREM

Given A, X non-singular 3 K satisfying (A+BK)X = X1 iff $U_i^T (AX - XA) = 0$, where $B = [u_0, u_1] [Z]$. Then K= Z' U" (XNX'- A) Corollary $x_{j} \in \mathcal{J} = \mathcal{N}\left\{ U_{i}^{T}(A - \lambda_{j}T) \right\}$



Proof:

- 7

$(A + BK)X = X\Lambda$ implies $BK = X\Lambda X^{-1} - A$ Using decomposition of *B* gives $ZK = U_0^{T}(X\Lambda X^{-1} - A)$ $0 = U_1^{T}(X\Lambda X^{-1} - A)$

which establishes the theorem



Proof:

$(A + BK)X = X\Lambda$ implies $BK = X\Lambda X^{-1} - A$ Using decomposition of *B* gives $ZK = U_0^{T}(X\Lambda X^{-1} - A)$ $0 = U_1^{T}(X\Lambda X^{-1} - A)$

which establishes the theorem

Solution is NOT UNIQUE if m > 1



SENSITIUITY (ROBUSTNESS) Choose K s.t. i; are insensitive to perturbations in M MEASURE OF SENSITIVITY The first order change &2 in pole & due to a perturbation A in M is given by $S\lambda = y^T \Delta x / y^T x$ where $M_{x_j} = \lambda_j x_j$, $y_j^T M = \lambda_j y_j^T$ M=A+BK non-defective



UNSTRUCTURED PERTURBATIONS

It perturbations are entirely arbitrary, Then worst case occurs when

=	y rt	8
	INAII NEII	

Then

 $| S \rangle | = \frac{\|g_{\|} \| \| \times \|}{|g^{T} \times |} | \delta | = c(\lambda) || \Delta ||$

Here $C(\lambda) = \|y\| \| \underline{x} \| / |y|^{\underline{x}}$ is the sensitivity coefficient of λ .



ROBUSTNESS - UNSTRUCTURED CASE

As a global measure of sensitivity we take

 $\mathcal{V}^{*} = \sum_{j} c(x_{j})^{*} = || X^{-1} ||_{F}^{*}$

where $y_{1}^{T} x_{1}^{T} = 1$, $\|x_{1}^{T}\| = 1$ $Y^{T} = \begin{bmatrix} y_{1}^{T} \\ x_{2}^{T} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x_{1}, x_{2}, \dots x_{n} \end{bmatrix}^{T} = X^{-1}$ $\begin{bmatrix} y_{1}^{T} \\ y_{n}^{T} \end{bmatrix}$

Remark Techniques for minimizing v are given in Kantshy, Nichols and Van Dooven [KNVD] I. J. C., 1985



NUMERICAL METHOD

STEP A: Find bases for Sj. Select initial X s.t x; = Xe; E d; STEP X: Update each vector iteratively by solving min $v_F(x)$ $x_j \in d_j$ subject to nx; 11=1. STEP K : Find M s.t. MX=X1 and contruct $K = Z^{-1} U^{T} (M - A)$



Extensions

Structured Perturbations

Differential-Algebraic / Singular Descriptor Systems

Partial Eigenvalue Assignment

Output Feedback

Observer / State Estimation

Quadratic Systems



3.

Inverse Eigenvalue Problems for Quadratic Matrix Polynomial Systems



SECOND ORDER CONTROL SYSTEM

SYSTEM: $\vec{z} - A_{\vec{z}} - A_{\vec{z}} = B_{\underline{u}}$ FEEDBACK: u = Kz + Kz + z2 CLOSED LOOP SYSTEM : $\vec{z} - M_2 \vec{z} - M_1 \vec{z} = B_{\boldsymbol{z}}$ where $M_{1}=A_{1}+BK_{1}, \quad M_{2}=A_{2}+BK_{2}$



INVERSE PROBLEM

Find K, K. s.t. closed loop pencil has specified eigenvalues {2:3 - ie s.t. $det(\lambda_i^2 I - \lambda_i M_2 - M_1) = 0$ and st. assigned hi are Robust = insensitive to perturbations in M. and M.



EXISTENCE

Solutions K, K2 exist for every self-conjugate set { xi } ²ⁿ if and only if the system is completely controllable that is: $\operatorname{Rank}\left[\lambda^{2}I - \lambda A_{2} - A_{1}, B\right] = n$ VXEC.



APPLICATIONS

- · Large Flexible Space Structures
- Vibration in Multi-Body Systems
- Damped Gyroscopic Systems
- · Robotics
- · Optimal Quadratic Control



SENSITIVITY

Perturbations SM, SM, in M., M2 2 1821 = c(1) || [SM, SH2] || where $C(\lambda) = \prod_{n=1}^{N} \prod_{n=1}^{$ 1W" (22I-M.)V | and $(\lambda^2 T - \lambda M_2 - M_1) \underline{V} = 0$ $w^{H}(\lambda^{2}I - \lambda M_{2} - M_{1}) = 0$







EMBEDDING APPROACH

System: x = Ax + Bu $\begin{array}{c} X = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ - \end{bmatrix} & \widehat{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & T \\ - \end{bmatrix} & \widehat{B} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ - \end{bmatrix} \\ \begin{array}{c} \widehat{B} \\ - \end{bmatrix} & \begin{array}{c} \widehat{B} \\ - \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} B \\ - \end{array} \end{array}$

 $\overline{\mathbf{F}} \in \mathbf{DBACK}: \\
\underline{\mathbf{W}} = \widetilde{\mathbf{K}} \times + \mathbf{\Sigma} = \left[\mathbf{K}, \mathbf{K}_{2} \right] \left[\frac{1}{2} \right] + \mathbf{\Sigma} \\
\underline{\mathbf{C}} = \left[\mathbf{K}, \mathbf{K}_{2} \right] \left[\frac{1}{2} \right] + \mathbf{\Sigma} \\
\underline{\mathbf{C}} = \left[\frac{1}{2} \right] \left[\frac{1}{2} \right] = \left[\frac{1}{2} \right] \\
\underline{\mathbf{M}} = \left[\mathbf{K}, \mathbf{K}_{2} \right] \left[\frac{1}{2} \right] \\
\underline{\mathbf{M}} = \left[\mathbf{K}, \mathbf{K}_{2} \right] \left[\frac{1}{2} \right] \\
\underline{\mathbf{M}} = \left[\frac{1}{2} \right] \\
\underline{\mathbf{M}} = \left[\frac{1}{2} \right] \\
\underline{\mathbf{M}} = \left[\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{M} \\ \mathbf{M} \\$



INVERSE LINEAR PROBLEM Find $\tilde{K} = [K, K_2]$ s.t. closed loop matrix A has specified eigenvalues {1:32 -ie s.t $det(\lambda_i I - \hat{M}) = 0$ and s.t. assigned hi are Robust = insensitive to perturbations in M



METHOD

Apply known methods for robust eigenstructure assignment to the Linear problem :

KAUTSKY, NICHOLS + VAN DOOREN, INT. J. CONTROL (1985)



METHOD

Apply known methods for robust eigenstructure assignment to the linear problem : KAUTSKY, NICHOLS & VAN DOOREN, INT. J. CONTROL (1985)

min $C(\lambda) = \|\underline{\tilde{w}}\| \|\underline{\tilde{v}}\|$ $|\underline{\tilde{w}}^{H}\underline{\tilde{v}}|$

WORST CASE

perturbe tim



DIFFICULTIES

 Linear theory does not take into account the STRUCTURE of the allowable perturbations:
SM = [SM, SM2]

• Procedure does not take into account special form of EIGENSTRUCTURE: $\tilde{Y} = \begin{bmatrix} Y \\ \lambda y \end{bmatrix} \quad \tilde{W}^{H} = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda y^{H} - y^{H}M_{3}, y^{H} \end{bmatrix}$



SENSITIVITY TO STRUCTURED PERTURBATIONS





APPLICATION TO QUADRATIC PROBLEM

- Set: $F = \begin{cases} 0 \\ I \end{cases} G^T = I$
- Then: $S\widetilde{M} = F[SM, \deltaM_{2}]G^{T} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ SM, & SM_{2} \end{bmatrix}$ is allowable perturbation and sensitivity is: $\widetilde{C}(\lambda) = \prod \underline{\widetilde{W}}^{H} F \prod \prod G^{T} \underline{\widetilde{V}} \prod / |\underline{\widetilde{W}}^{H} \underline{\widetilde{V}}|$ $= \frac{\prod \underline{W}^{H} \prod |V|}{|\underline{W}^{H} (2\lambda \overline{I} - M_{2}) \underline{V}|} = C(\lambda)$
 - Using $\widehat{W}^{H} = \left(\lambda \underline{W}^{H} - \underline{W}^{H} M_{2}, \underline{W}^{H} \right), \quad \widehat{Y} = \left(\frac{Y}{\lambda Y} \right)$



HENCE :

Can solue Quadratic problem using techniques for robust eigenstructure assignment in Linear systems subject to structured perturbations:

KAUTSKY + NICHOLS Systems , Control Letters (1990)



MODIFIED THEORY (i) Let B= [U., U,][20] U=[U. U.] orthog anal Zo non. singular Then for prescribed b; the vector Y; satisfies $(\lambda_j^2 I - \lambda_j M_2 - M_1) Y_j = 0$ if and only if $Y_{j} \in \mathcal{A}_{j} = \int \{ U_{i}^{T}(x_{j}^{T}I - x_{j}A_{j} - A_{i}) \}$



(ii) het $[K, K_2] = \overline{Z_R} U_0^{-1} (V \Lambda^2 - A_1 V \Lambda - A_1 V) \widehat{V}^{-1}$ $= Z_{A}^{-1} U_{*}^{T} (V \Lambda^{3} W^{H} - (V \Lambda^{2} W^{H})^{2} - A_{1},$ $V\Lambda^2 W^H - A_2$) where V=[Y, Y2... Yan] with Y. & S; N= diag {x; }, V= /vn] W=V /0 Then the closed loop system has the prescribed eigenvalues {1; }



NUMERICAL ALGORITHM

STEP 1: Select initial set V= [x, x, ... Yen], Y; edj s.t. V = [vn] is non singular STEP 2: Update each v; by minimizing robustness: $\min_{y_i \in A_i} \sum_{i} c^2(\lambda_i) = ||\tilde{V}'|_{p_i} ||_{p_i}^2 = ||W''|_{p_i}^2$ subject to (1+12;12) 1/2; 11=1 STEP 3: Construct K, K2 from V and W as in Theorem.



STEP 1/3

Can always be achieved if the system is completely controllable that is : nank $[\lambda^2 I - \lambda A_2 - A_1, B] = n$ and {1; } are distinct (or satisfy other mild reshictions)



KEY STEP = STEP 2 · Iterative . Each iteration requires 1 QR update (2n x (2n-1)) 1 Householder (2nx1) 1 Least square solve (2n×(m-1)), meen · Vª guaranteed nonsingular after each update · FEN iterations needed to obtain robust solution





SYSTEM :

$J = + D = + C = = B_{\underline{u}}$

$\frac{EMBFDDJNG}{\begin{bmatrix} T & 0 \\ 0 & J \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & T \\ -C & D \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ B \end{bmatrix}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ $\Rightarrow \quad \tilde{E} \stackrel{*}{x} = \tilde{A} \stackrel{*}{x} + \tilde{B} \stackrel{*}{u}$



INVERSE PROBLEM

Find K, K2 to assign eigenvalues 2213? S.ť. $det(\lambda_i^2 J - \lambda_i M_2 - M_i) = 0$ $M_1 = C + BK_1$ $M_2 = D + BK_2$ and s.t. assigned hi ane Rosus = insensitive to perturbations in J, M, and M,



Department of Mathematics

L

ANALYSIS - J NONSINGULAR Perturbations JSJ JSM, JSM. in J. M. M2 -> $|S\lambda| \leq C(\lambda) || [SJ, SM, SM_]||$ where $c(\lambda) = \frac{\alpha || \underline{w}^{H} J ||_{2} || \underline{v} ||_{2}}{| \underline{w}^{H} (2\lambda J - D) \underline{v} |}$ a = (1+1×12+1×14) 42 and

 $(\lambda^2 J - \lambda M_2 - M_1) \underline{\vee} = 0$ $\underline{\mathsf{W}}^{\mathsf{H}} (\lambda^2 J - \lambda M_2 - M_1) = 0$



ROBUSTNESS MEASURE $v^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{2n} c^2(\lambda_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{2n} ||w_i^{H}J||_{1}^{2}$ where · i; non-defective • $(1+1\lambda_{j}^{2}+1\lambda_{j}^{4})^{\prime\prime}$ $\parallel \underline{Y}_{j} \parallel_{2} = 1$ · 1 w, " (21; J-D) v;] = 1 (for multiple 2; assumes v. W.H form biorthogonal bases for invariant subspaces)



EXAMPLE 1 $J = 10 J_3$ D = 0 $C = \begin{bmatrix} -40 & 40 & 0 \\ 40 & -50 & 40 \\ 0 & 40 & -40 \end{bmatrix} \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$ L = {± 3.6039i, ± 2.4940i, ± 0.89008i} Assign: L= {-1, -2, -3, -4, -5, -6] Initial guess: k (V) = 3438 2 = 2808 First itwak on : V= 137.6 Third iteration: v= 136.6 K(V) = 497.2



$$K_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} -1.2566 & -44.622 & 120.22 \\ 56.184 & 42.276 & -227.72 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$K_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} 86.175 & -27.225 & -16.522 \\ -85.454 & 13.616 & -4.5524 \end{bmatrix}$$

Perturbations: $\frac{\left\|\left[\mathcal{SM}_{i}, \mathcal{SM}_{i}\right]\right\|_{2}}{2} \leq \varepsilon = 0.002$ $\Rightarrow |\varepsilon\lambda| = O(0.01)$

Corresponds to absolute perturbations JSM, JSM2 = O(0.01)

=) SYSTEM ROBUST



Example 2

D, C, B as in Example 1 $J = \begin{bmatrix} 5000 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ NEARLY SINGULAR 1 L = { ± 4897.02, ± 4.47262, ±0.051653i} Assign: 1= {-1, -2, -3, -4, -5, -6} Initial guess : $u = 4.43 m^{5}$ $\kappa(v) = 5.24 m^{5}$ First iteration: U= 3.298104 Third iteration : V= 3.156 Y K(V)= 7.622 10



 $K_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} 2668.9 & 59.004 & -58.413 \\ 19.996 & -60.000 & 60.000 \end{bmatrix}$ $K_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} 1291.4 & -3.2463 & -3.1338 \\ -0.018680 & 0.45542 \\ -0.018680 & 0.45542 \\ -0.53043 \\ -4 \end{bmatrix}$

Perturbations : $\| [SJ, SM_{2}, SM,] \|_{2} \leq \epsilon = 3_{10}-6$ $\Rightarrow |S\lambda| = O(0.01)$ Corresponds to absolute errors JEJ, JSM_{2}, JSM_{2} = O(0.01) $\Rightarrow Rogust Closed Loop System$ despike ill-condutioning of J!



ANALYSIS - SMOULAR CASE

Results can be extended to general case where quadratic polynomial is SINGULAR provided systeme is STRONELY CONTROLLABLE. Sensitivity analysis CHORDAL MEASURE uses

cf. KAUTSY + NICHIOLS, LAN (1989)



CONCLUSIONS

· QUADRATIC INVEVSE eigenvalue problem can be treated by using structured perturbation theory for the embedded Linean problem · Efficiency can be improved by exploiting special ligenstructure of Ovadratic System

Technique can be extended
Technique can be extended
Technique can be extended





Kautsky, Nichols and VanDooren, Robust pole assignment in linear state feedback, *Int J Control*, 41, 1985, 1129 – 1155

Kautsky, Nichols and Chu, Robust pole assignment in singular control systems, *Lin Alg & Appl*, 121, 1989, 9 – 37

Kautsky and Nichols, Robust pole assignment in systems subject to structured perturbations, *Syst* & *Control Let*, 15, 1990, 373 – 380 1990

Nichols and Kautsky, Robust eigenstructure assignment in quadratic matrix polynomials: non-singular case, *SIAM J on Matrix Anal & Appl*, 23, 2001, 77 – 102

n.k.nichols@reading.ac.uk



Bibliography

Extensions:

Chu, Nichols and Kautsky, Robust pole assignment by output feedback, *Fourth IMA Conference on Control Theory*, Academic Press, 1985, 137-146.

Chu and Nichols, Reliable algorithms for reduced order observer design, *Computational and Combinatorial Methods in System Theory*, Elsevier Press, 1986, 11-22.

Nichols, Robustness in partial pole placement, *IEEE Trans Auto Control*, **AC-32**, 1987, 728-732.

Bunse-Gerstner, Mehrmann and Nichols, Regularization of descriptor systems by derivative and proportional state feedback, *SIAM J. on Matrix Anal & Appl*, 13, 1992, 46-67

Bunse-Gerstner, Mehrmann and Nichols, Regularization of descriptor systems by output feedback, *IEEE Trans Auto Control*, AC - 39, 1994, 1742 - 1748.

